

# **EXHIBIT F**

Russell F. Dunn, Ph.D.

Page 1

ALBRIGHT, Diane

Plaintiff,

V.

Civil Action No.  
MICV2012-00909

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.,  
(d/b/a, MANSFIELD  
SCIENTIFIC, INC., &  
MICROVASIVE INC.

## Defendants.

CARDENAS, Maria

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.  
MICV2012-02912

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.,  
(d/b/a, MANSFIELD  
SCIENTIFIC, INC.,  
MICROVASIVE INC.) and  
John Doe Corporation 1-50

Defendants.

OROZCO, Ronda and Tony

Plaintiffs,

V.

Civil Action No.  
MICV2012-03068

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.,  
(d/b/a, MANSFIELD  
SCIENTIFIC, INC.,  
MICROVASIVE INC.) and  
John Doe Corporation 1-50

Defendants.

Russell F. Dunn, Ph.D.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 2</p> <p>1 WILSON, Julia   2 Plaintiff,  Civil Action No. 3 v.  MICV2012-02626 4   5 BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORP.,   6 (d/b/a, MANSFIELD   7 SCIENTIFIC, INC.,   8 MICROVASIVE INC.) and   9 John Doe Corporation 1-50  10 Defendants.   11   12 DEBORAH A. BARBA and   13 THOMAS D. BARBA, her  Civil Action No. 14 husband  N11C-08-050 MMJ 15 Plaintiffs,   16 v.   17 JOHN C. CARLSON, D.O.,   18 MATERNITY ASSOCIATES,   19 P.A., and BOSTON   20 SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION, A  21 Delaware Corporation,   22 Defendants.   23   24 Videotaped deposition of RUSSELL F. DUNN, 25 PH.D., a witness in the above-entitled cause, taken before Cynthia Odom, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for Davidson County, Tennessee, at 2555 West End Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, on the 21st day of February, 2014, commencing at 8:14 a.m., pursuant to the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p> <p>1 STIPULATION 2 The deposition of RUSSELL F. DUNN, 3 PH.D., taken by agreement at 2555 West End 4 Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, beginning at 5 8:14 A.M., February 21, 2014, on behalf of 6 the Defendants pursuant to the provision 7 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil 8 Procedure. 9 Formalities as to notice, caption, 10 certificate, and filing are waived. 11 All objections, except as to the form 12 of the question, are reserved to the 13 hearing. The reporter, being a notary 14 public, may swear the witness. 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on 16 the record at 8:14 a.m. on February 21, 17 2014. This is the video deposition of 18 Russell Dunn, Ph.D., in re Boston 19 Scientific litigation. Will counsel 20 please introduce themselves. 21 MR. BOWMAN: This is Mike 22 Bowman, representing the Plaintiffs. 23 MS. SASTRE: Good morning, 24 Hildy Sastre, with Shook Hardy, on behalf 25 of the Defendants.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 3</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES: 2 WEXLER WALLACE, L.L.P. 3 55 West Monroe Street, Suite 3300 4 Chicago, Illinois 60603 5 For the Plaintiff 6 BY: MICHAEL H. BOWMAN, ESQ. 7 SHOOK, HARDY &amp; BACON, L.L.P. 8 201 S. Biscayne Boulevard 9 Miami, Florida 33131 10 For the Defendant 11 BY: HILDY M. SASTRE, ESQ. 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 VCE Digital, Videographers 14 INDEX 15 Witness Page 16 Russell F. Dunn, Ph.D. 17 Direct Examination ..... 5 18 Cross Examination ..... 341 19 Redirect Examination ..... 350 20 21 EXHIBITS 22 23 No. Description Page 24 1 PCT-147 Researched Documents 25 Volume I 31 2 PCT-147 Report, Digital Photos, Microscopy, FTIR, GPC, XPS 31 3 Rule 26 Expert Report of PCT, LLC - Diane Albright 34 4 Rule 26 Expert Report of PCT, LLC - Deborah Barba 35 5 Notice of Deposition - Albright 35 6 Notice of Deposition - Barba 36 7 Flash Drive Containing Case, Files, Testing, Photos 36</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 5</p> <p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would you 2 please swear in the witness. 3 RUSSELL F. DUNN, PH.D., 4 having first been duly sworn, testified as 5 follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. SASTRE: 8 Q. Good morning, Dr. Dunn. 9 A. Good morning. 10 Q. Could I get you to state your full 11 name for the record, please? 12 A. Russell Franklin Dunn. 13 Q. Doctor, I know we've met and had a 14 couple of moments to exchange pleasantries 15 before we went on the record, but I just 16 wanted to formally introduce myself to 17 you. I'm Hildy Sastre with Shook Hardy, 18 and we're here, as you know, to take your 19 deposition in a proceeding which is in 20 Massachusetts, a consolidated proceeding 21 there involving Boston Scientific and 22 pelvic mesh; you're aware of that? 23 A. I understand. 24 Q. Okay. Very good. And you also 25 actually, I would add on, you also have</p>

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Russell F. Dunn, Ph.D.

Page 110	Page 112
<p>1 Q. Yes.      2 A. Yes.      3 Q. And I'm referring to the pelvic      4 mesh litigation, but, yes.      5 A. This is -- I don't recall any other      6 case involving a medical product.      7 Q. Uh-huh. Although you would agree      8 there's lots of medical products that are      9 manufactured from polypropylene?      10 A. Correct.      11 Q. And polypropylene has been used in      12 the human body since the 1950's; right?      13 A. I'm not debating that.      14 Q. And in a variety of medical      15 settings; correct?      16 A. That's my understanding.      17 Q. Okay. And is it your opinion, if      18 we take mesh out of the question, do you      19 hold an opinion that all polypropylene      20 medical products are defective if they      21 have an opportunity to come in contact      22 with oxygen?      23 A. That's oversimplifying it. You      24 know, really when we look at product      25 analysis we have to look at where the</p>	<p>1 Defendants?      2 A. Oh, primarily Plaintiffs, or I      3 would say 90 percent or greater is      4 Plaintiffs, those are the attorneys that      5 are contacting me.      6 Q. Okay. When were you first retained      7 in this litigation against Boston      8 Scientific?      9 A. If I recall it was around October.      10 Q. Of 2013?      11 A. 2013, yes. And because I can't      12 tell you specifically -- I can look back      13 and find some information, but because I      14 was already working on the AMS litigation      15 case it's hard for me to give you a      16 definitive exact date right now.      17 Q. And when was your report issued?      18 A. Oh, I have to look back at the      19 date, it should have a date on it.      20 Q. I didn't see it.      21 A. I'm surprised. I would have to      22 look that up to be honest with you. I      23 think that I might be able to find it from      24 the billing.      25 Q. Does December of 2013 sound right?</p>
Page 111	Page 113
<p>1 product is ultimately going to be used, so      2 as a scientist I would say that each of      3 those situations would have to be looked      4 at in detail individually. I can't make      5 that broad a blanket statement.      6 Q. Let me ask you specifically, and      7 just tell me whether you have an opinion      8 or not on this topic, but do you hold an      9 opinion that polypropylene sutures are      10 defective?      11 A. I do not hold an opinion.      12 Q. You don't know?      13 A. No, that's not what I said. I said      14 I don't hold an opinion. I haven't      15 studied polypropylene sutures.      16 Q. You agree that they certainly come      17 into contact with oxygen; right?      18 A. I have not studied polypropylene      19 sutures. I would want to study that in      20 detail.      21 Q. In the list of cases that you've      22 got here on Exhibit C to your CV --      23 A. Yes.      24 Q. What percentage of the time were      25 you retained by the Plaintiffs versus</p>	<p>1 A. Yeah, if you only want the month,      2 yes.      3 Q. I remember a flurry of activity in      4 December.      5 A. That's easier for me to do.      6 December, yes, that sounds right.      7 Q. So you were retained by the      8 Plaintiffs in this litigation against      9 Boston Scientific in October of 2013;      10 correct?      11 A. Yes.      12 Q. And your report was issued      13 approximately two months later in      14 December; right?      15 A. Correct.      16 Q. And within that period of time your      17 testimony is you had an opportunity to      18 review all of the materials which we've      19 previously discussed, the depositions, the      20 documents; correct?      21 A. Again, I think my testimony was I      22 scanned much of that. I can't -- and I      23 think my testimony was that I can't tell      24 you in looking at those Bates numbers      25 whether I can definitively say that I saw</p>

Russell F. Dunn, Ph.D.

Page 350	Page 352
<p>1 right?</p> <p>2 A. Well, with GPC you're getting a</p> <p>3 molecular weight, so if you had degraded</p> <p>4 segments that are oxidized those would be</p> <p>5 averaged with segments that are not</p> <p>6 degraded that are in the bulk, thus it's</p> <p>7 going to give you the average of all of</p> <p>8 that collectively together.</p> <p>9 Q. And it would lower the average of</p> <p>10 the molecular weight; is that right?</p> <p>11 A. That is correct.</p> <p>12 MR. BOWMAN: I have nothing</p> <p>13 further.</p> <p>14 MS. SASTRE: Just a question</p> <p>15 or two.</p> <p>16 <b>REDIRECT EXAMINATION</b></p> <p>17 <b>BY MS. SASTRE:</b></p> <p>18 Q. Doctor, is it your sworn testimony</p> <p>19 today that FTIR testing is incapable of</p> <p>20 detecting surface degradation on</p> <p>21 polypropylene?</p> <p>22 A. No, that's not my testimony.</p> <p>23 Q. Because it can detect surface</p> <p>24 oxidation on polypropylene; correct?</p> <p>25 A. If it's significant -- if it's a</p>	<p>1 STATE OF TENNESSEE)</p> <p>2 ) ss</p> <p>3 COUNTY OF DAVIDSON)</p> <p>4 I, CYNTHIA ODOM, Certified Shorthand</p> <p>5 Reporter and Notary Public duly and</p> <p>6 qualified in and for the State of</p> <p>7 Tennessee do hereby certify there came</p> <p>8 before me the deponent herein, namely</p> <p>9 RUSSELL F. DUNN, PH.D., who was by me duly</p> <p>10 sworn to testify to the truth and nothing</p> <p>11 but the truth concerning the matters in</p> <p>12 this cause.</p> <p>13 I further certify that the foregoing</p> <p>14 transcript is a true and correct</p> <p>15 transcript of my original stenographic</p> <p>16 notes.</p> <p>17 I further certify that I am neither</p> <p>18 attorney or counsel for, nor related to or</p> <p>19 employed by any of the parties to the</p> <p>20 action in which this deposition is taken;</p> <p>21 and furthermore, that I am not a relative</p> <p>22 or employee of any attorney or counsel</p> <p>23 employed by the parties hereto or</p> <p>24 financially interested in the action.</p>
<p>1 sufficiently high quantity of oxidation,</p> <p>2 yes.</p> <p>3 MS. SASTRE: Okay. I have</p> <p>4 nothing further.</p> <p>5 MR. BOWMAN: Okay.</p> <p>6 MS. SASTRE: All set? Okay.</p> <p>7 Great. Thanks.</p> <p>8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That</p> <p>9 concludes the deposition, off the record,</p> <p>10 3:48 p.m.</p> <p>11 (Whereupon, the videotape</p> <p>12 deposition of Russell Dunn,</p> <p>13 Ph.D., was completed at 3:48</p> <p>14 p.m.)</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p>1 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto</p> <p>2 set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal</p> <p>3 this 6th day of March, 2014.</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6 CYNTHIA ODOM,</p> <p>7 NOTARY PUBLIC</p> <p>8 TNLCR#: 487</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
	89 (Pages 350 to 353)

1 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
2 set my hand and affixed my Notarial Seal  
3 this 18<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2014.

Cynthia Odom  
CYNTHIA ODOM,  
NOTARY PUBLIC  
TNLCR# : 487

